REBUTTAL TO ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF

PROPOSITION 22

THE SOLUTION - NO ON PROP 22

Are proponents of Prop 22 -- local government bureaucrats, developers and redevelopment agencies who create endless schemes to fill their coffers -- really blind to California's budget crisis?

Why else would they ask voters to pass an initiative where public schools stand to lose over one billion dollars next year, and billions more over the next decade, while handing billions in tax dollars to developers?

Then, Prop 22 takes money firefighters across California use to fight fires and natural disasters.

And, Prop 22 makes funding for affordable healthcare for children more difficult.

The Silicon Valley Taxpayers Association strongly urges a NO vote on 22.

The Fullerton Association of Concerned Taxpayers says NO.

They believe special protections for redevelopment agencies in Prop 22 are a terrible idea. It would allow more sweetheart deals with for-profit developers.

It's a bad idea to amend California's Constitution to reduce funding available for public education and shrink budgets for fire protection, public safety and healthcare, while protecting tax giveaways for local developers. California's Constitution isn't the place for local power grabs. Especially with no accountability!

SUBJECT TO COURT ORDERED CHANGES

FINA

"Prop 22 locks in protections for redevelopment agencies that take over 10% of all property taxes and use them to enter into billions of dollars of long-term debt without voter approval."

Lew Uhler, President National Taxpayer Limitation Committee

Your tax dollars should go first to public schools, public safety and healthcare. And go LAST to local bureaucrats, developers and redevelopment agencies that support Proposition 22.

David A. Sanchez

President, California Teachers Association

Ken Hambrick

Chair, Alliance of Contra Costa Taxpayers

Lew Stone

President, Burbank Firefighters

SUBJECT TO COURT ORDERED CHANGES